



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJAST_5723
Title of the Manuscript:	Monitoring of gross alpha around some industrial regions in Egypt
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Some unit in the next must be corrected (Scientific mistake). Bq/m^{-3} must be Bq/m^3 (introduction section in 3 places). The formulas $1 \text{ Bq M-3} = 0.0172 \text{ mSv/yr}$ and $D = C \times 0.0172 \text{ mSv/yr}$ must be re-designed. In Figure 2 the Y-axis label (Average Activity Concentration (micro gram/m³)) is confused. μg is not the activity unit. The meaning of the label must be corrected or explained. Although “The results revealed that the activity concentrations of the natural radionuclide were within the back ground limit” is mentioned, the obtained results should be given for comparison the radon result with the other natural radioactive sources. Especially the activity data taken from U and Th is very important to have an idea of the main purpose. The manuscript is not well designed and has some scientific mistake. The manuscript must be corrected and extended.	The paper is corrected. Fig. 2 is corrected and fig. 3 was added as well. I tried to correct the paper and appreciate if any more comments needed to represent the paper in the good condition.
Minor REVISION comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments		
---	--	--